

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/938,303	NAGAOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) JIMMY H. NGUYEN.

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew H. Polson (applicant's rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008

Time: 3:17pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18, 27, 63 and 72

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 18, 27, 63 and 72 so as to make the claimed invention consistent with the original disclosure and further to clarify the claimed invention. The amendments to these claims are provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.